ħ

# The Cultural Economy of Fandom JOHN FISKE

Fandom is a common feature of popular culture in industrial societies. It selects from the repertoire of mass-produced and mass-distributed entertainment certain performers, narratives or genres and takes them into the culture of a self-selected fraction of the people. They are then reworked into an intensely pleasurable, intensely signifying popular culture that is both similar to, yet significantly different from, the culture of more 'normal' popular audiences. Fandom is typically associated with cultural forms that the dominant value system denigrates – pop music, romance novels, comics, Hollywood mass-appeal stars (sport, probably because of its appeal to masculinity, is an exception). It is thus associated with the cultural tastes of subordinated formations of the people, particularly with those disempowered by any combination of gender, age, class and race.

All popular audiences engage in varying degrees of semiotic productivity, producing meanings and pleasures that pertain to their social situation out of the products of the culture industries. But fans often turn this semiotic productivity into some form of textual production that can circulate among – and thus help to define – the fan community. Fans create a fan culture with its own systems of production and distribution that forms what I shall call a 'shadow cultural economy' that lies outside that of the cultural industries yet shares features with them which more normal popular culture lacks.

In this essay I wish to use and develop Bourdieu's metaphor of describing culture as an economy in which people invest

#### THE CULTURAL ECONOMY OF FANDOM

privilege and distinction. like money, distinguishes between those who possess it, and cert halls, museums, and state subsidies to the arts, which to distinguish between the privileged and the deprived. This economic system to distribute its resources unequally and thus works hand in hand with economic capital to produce social thus of a higher socio-economic position. Cultural capital thus in terms of better job prospects, of enhanced social prestige and cultural tastes and competences, will produce a social 'return' those who do not. 'Investing' in education, in acquiring certain no social legitimation or institutional support. Official culture, culture, in distinction from popular culture which receives institutionally legitimated, and I shall refer to it as official traditional to the avant-garde). This culture is socially and taken together constitute a 'high' culture (ranging from the but also through other institutions such as art galleries, conand competences, particularly through the educational system, cultural system promotes and privileges certain cultural tastes and accumulate capital. The cultural system works like the

Bourdieu (1984) has analyzed in detail how accurately cultural tastes can be mapped onto economic status within the social space. He models our society first as a two-dimensional map in which the vertical, or north-south, axis records the amount of capital (economic and cultural) possessed, and the horizontal, or east-west, records the type of capital (economic or cultural). Those on the west, or left, are higher in cultural capital than economic capital (e.g. academics, artists, etc.), whereas those on the east or right possess more economic than cultural (business people, manufacturers). In the top center of the map reside those rich in both forms of capital—the professions such as architects, doctors, lawyers and so on, the educated, 'tasteful' capitalists! The south, or bottom, of the diagram is occupied by those deprived of both, whom Bourdieu calls 'the profesariat.'

Both forms of capital are complicated further by whether they have been inherited or acquired. The difference between old and new money is a crucial distinction for the 'northerners' though ludicrous to the poor; similarly the distinction between acquired and inherited cultural capital becomes more important as we move northwards in the social space. Briefly,

acquired cultural capital is that produced by the educational system and consists of the knowledge and critical appreciation of a particular set of texts, 'the canon,' in literature, art, music and now, increasingly, film. Inherited cultural capital is manifest in lifestyle rather than in textual preference – in fashion, furnishings, manners, in choice of restaurant or club, in sport or vacation preferences.

This is a productive model, but it has two main weaknesses. The first is its emphasis on economics and class as the major (if not the only) dimension of social discrimination. We need to add to Bourdieu's model gender, race and age as axes of discrimination, and thus to read his account of how culture works to underwrite class differences as symptomatic of its function in other axes of social difference. In this essay I wish to focus on class, gender and age as axes of subordination. I regret being unable to devote the attention to race which it deserves, but I have not found studies of non-white fandom. Most of the studies so far undertaken highlight class, gender and age as the key axes of discrimination.

Bourdieu's other weakness, for my particular purposes, is his failure to accord the culture of the subordinate the same sophisticated analysis as that of the dominant. He subdivides dominant culture into a number of competing categories, each characteristic of socially distinguished groups within the bourgeoisie. But he leaves proletarian culture and the proletariat as an undistinguished homogeneity. This leads him seriously to underestimate the creativity of popular culture and its role in distinguishing between different social formations within the subordinated. He does not allow that there are forms of popular cultural capital produced outside and often against official cultural capital.

These two weaknesses can be compensated for, and should not blind us to the value of his work. A concept of his which I find particularly useful is that of the habitus. The habitus includes the notion of a habitat, the habitants and the processes of inhabiting it, and the habituated ways of thinking that go with it. It encompasses our position within the social space, the ways of living that go with it and what Bourdieu calls the associated 'dispositions' of mind, cultural tastes and ways of thinking and feeling. The habitus refuses the

traditional distinction between the social and the individual, and it reformulates the relationship between domination and subjectivity.

will lack official cultural capital and the social, and therefore confined to them. Many young fans are successful at school still to differentiate themselves, along the axis of age at least, and are steadily accumulating official cultural capital, but wish source of self-esteem among the peer group. While fandom may appreciation acquire an unofficial cultural capital that is a major of a musician or sports star, and through fan knowledge and self-esteem that it brings. Some may well become fans, often desired or felt to be merited. Thus a low achiever at school who currently possess the cultural and economic capital they be typical of the socially and culturally deprived, it is not the amount of capital possessed falls short of that which is as a sort of 'moonlighting' in the cultural rather than the many of the institutions of official culture, although in popufrom the social values and cultural tastes (or habitus) of those be measured by objective means alone, for lack arises when with cultural capital. As with economic capital, lack cannot lack and provides the social prestige and self-esteem that go by legitimate culture. Fandom offers ways of filling cultural economic sphere, a form of cultural labor to fill the gaps left lar form and under popular control. It may be thought of shown that fan culture is a form of popular culture that echoes official culture. By the conclusion of this essay I hope to have begin to reproduce equivalents of the formal institutions of of such cultural capital and, at the level of fan organization, context. Fans, in particular, are active producers and users functions to those of official cultural capital in the dominant cultural capital' produced by subordinate social formations of subordination, and extended to include forms of 'popular model, modified to take account of gender and age as axes habitus. In this essay I shall base my argument upon Bourdieu's either sort changes one's position on the map and thus one's viduals move through time. Acquiring or losing capital of is that through which both class or social groups and indi-(Fiske 1989a), which can serve, in the subordinate, similar the idea of a map includes that of movement. Social space One final point to make about Bourdieu's model is that

· sajiti

are still working to acquire. Such social distinction, defined by age rather than class or gender, is often expressed by their fandom and by accumulation of unofficial or popular cultural capital whose politics lie in its opposition to the official, dominant one.

Such popular cultural capital, unlike official cultural capital, is not typically convertible into economic capital, though, as will be argued below, there are exceptions. Acquiring it will not enhance one's career, nor will it produce upward class mobility as its investment payoffs. Its dividends lie in the pleasures and esteem of one's peers in a community of taste rather than those of one's social betters. Fans, then, are a good example of Bourdieu's 'autodidacts' – the self-taught who often use their self-acquired knowledge and taste to compensate for the perceived gap between their actual (or official) cultural capital, as expressed in educational qualifications and the socio-economic rewards they bring, and what they feel are their true desserts.

Fandom, then, is a peculiar mix of cultural determinations. On the one hand it is an intensification of popular culture which is formed outside and often against official culture, on the other it expropriates and reworks certain values and characteristics of that official culture to which it is opposed.

I propose to discuss the main characteristics of fandom under three headings: Discrimination and Distinction, Productivity and Participation, and Capital Accumulation. These are characteristics of fandom in general rather than of any one fan or group of fans in particular. No one fan or fan community will exhibit all of them equally, but will differ considerably among themselves in emphasis.

#### Discrimination and Distinction

Fans discriminate fiercely: the boundaries between what falls within their fandom and what does not are sharply drawn. And this discrimination in the cultural sphere is mapped into distinctions in the social – the boundaries between the community of fans and the rest of the world are just as strongly

marked and patrolled. Both sides of the boundary invest in the difference; mundane viewers often wish to avoid what they see as the taint of fandom—'I'm not really a fan, of course, but ...' On the other side of the line, fans may argue about what characteristics allow someone to cross it and become a true fan, but they are clearly agreed on the existence of the line. Textual and social discrimination are part and parcel of the same cultural activity.

of a compensatory fantasy that actually precludes any social discussed above, but at other times may remain at the level may at times be translated into empowered social behavior, as social experiences that are self-interested and functional. Those opportunities to make meanings of their social identities and nation involves the selection of texts or stars that offer fans structure of a patriarchal marriage. This 'popular' discrimireading enables her better to assert her own rights within the own sexuality, and to walk more assertively through the streets Similarly, Radway (1984) tells of the woman romance fan whose fandom gives them to take control of the meanings of their girl fans of Madonna make use of the self-empowerment their show. Elsewhere (Fiske 1989b), I have shown how some teenage directly to the self-confidence she generated from watching the woman attributed her decision to risk starting her own business she could perform as well as boys at school, and an adult school girl said that her fandom had made her realize that up for themselves better in a variety of social situations - a reported that the show gave them the confidence to stand of 'Cagney & Lacey' fans shows how they used the show and is functional, it must be for something. D'Acci's (1988) study ordinate and that of the dominant is that subordinate culture one of the key differences between the culture of the subthem to perform more powerfully in their social world. Fans its stars to enhance their self-esteem which in turn enabled nation of the dominant (Fiske 1989a). Bourdieu argues that discrimination of popular culture and the aesthetic discrimi-Fan discrimination has affinities to both the socially relevant

Other forms of fan discrimination approach the aesthetic discrimination of official culture. Kiste's (1989) study of comic book fans shows how acutely they can discriminate between

various artists and storyliners, and how important it is to be able to rank them in a hierarchy – particularly to 'canonize' some and exclude others. Tulloch and Alvarado (1983) recount how some 'Dr Who' fans canonize the early series and specifically exclude the more widely popular later series in which Tom Baker played the lead. Their criteria were essentially ones of authenticity and as such were not dissimilar to those of the literary scholars who try to uncover what Shakespeare really wrote in preference to that which has been widely performed. Authenticity, particularly when validated as the production of an artistic individual (writer, painter, performer), is a criterion of discrimination normally used to accumulate official cultural capital but which is readily appropriated by fans in their moonlighting cultural economy.

Many of the fans studied by Kiste and by Tulloch and Alvarado were aware that their object of fandom was devalued by the criteria of official culture and went to great pains to argue against this misevaluation. They frequently used official cultural criteria such as 'complexity' or 'subtlety' to argue that their preferred texts were as 'good' as the canonized ones, and constantly evoked legitimate culture – novels, plays, art films – as points of comparison.

criteria, whereas younger, female and the less educated ones male fans, and more highly educated fans tend to use official It would not be surprising in such a case to find that older fans, therefore incline to use official criteria on its unofficial texts with that developed by the official culture, and which will of domination and subordination, the more likely he or she or cultural capital): the less a fan suffers from these structures studies reveal this tendency to be structural (as I suspect it male fans rather than by younger, female ones. If further is to have developed a habitus that accords in some respects typical of proletarian culture (that is, one without economic gender, age or class) are more likely to have developed a habitus to the structures of power. Those who are subordinated (by is), the explanation may well lie in differential relationships the more official or aesthetic criteria to be used by older, discrimination. They show a slight but regular tendency for it is possible to trace social factors within the modes of In the comparatively few studies of fans available to us

tend towards popular criteria. Cultural tastes and practices are produced by social rather than by individual differences, and so textual discrimination and social distinction are part of the same cultural process within and between fans just as much as between fans and other popular audiences.

#### **Productivity and Participation**

Popular culture is produced by the people out of the products of the cultural industries: it must be understood, therefore, in terms of productivity, not of reception. Fans are particularly productive, and I wish to categorize their productions into three areas, while recognizing that any example of fan productivity may well span all categories and refuse any clear distinctions among them. Categories are produced by the analyst for analytical purposes and do not exist in the world being analyzed but they do have analytical value. The ones I propose to use may be called semiotic productivity, enunciative productivity, and textual productivity. All such produced cultural commodity (narrative, music, star, etc.) and the everyday life of the fan.

Semiotic productivity is characteristic of popular culture as a whole rather than of fan culture specifically. It consists of the making of meanings of social identity and of social experience from the semiotic resources of the cultural commodity. The Madonna fans who made their own meanings of their sexuality rather than patriarchal ones (Fiske 1989b) or the romance fans who legitimated their own feminine values against patriarchal ones (Radway 1984) were engaging in semiotic productivity. Recent ethnographies of audiences have produced numerous examples of this form of productivity, and we need not spend any longer on it here. (See, for example, Cho and Cho 1990, Dawson 1990, Jones 1990, Leal 1990, Lipsitz 1989).

Semiotic productivity, then, is essentially interior; when the meanings made are spoken and are shared within a face-to-face or oral culture they take a public form that may be called enunciative productivity. An enunciation is the use of a semiotic

and many fans report that their choice of their object of fandom social group. This is not to suggest that the acquired taste is a particular program, band, team or performer, many people colleagues at work or at school are constantly talking about wished to join as by any of its inherent characteristics. If was determined at least as much by the oral community they the pleasure of fandom lies in the fan talk that it produces, example, Brown 1987, Hobson 1989 and 1990, Seiter et al. 1989) of women soap-opera fans has been widely studied (see for of the object of fandom within a local community. The talk is specific to its speaker and its social and temporal context system (typically, but not exclusively, verbal language) which interrelations between textual and social preferences. in any way unauthentic, but rather to point again to the close become drawn into fandom as a means of joining that particular directly to the everyday lives of the fans. Indeed, much of their behaviour in the soap opera are related more or less to show how the meanings and evaluations of characters and Fan talk is the generation and circulation of certain meanings

offensive and deliberately challenges more normal social values sort of fan pleasure, for its arousal is part of the intention, albei disapproval. Indeed, such disapproval is an integral part of this soccer fans are identical and both call forth considerable adult and the discipline they exert; in this, girl Madonna fans and boy community of fans, exhibit empowered behavior that may, at males, can, when wearing their colors and when in their own many of whom are socially and economically disempowered meanings into social circulation. Similarly British soccer fans available to young adolescent girls but were putting those community. The Madonna fans who, on MTV, claimed that and therefore of asserting one's membership of a particular tan unstated and possibly unadmitted, of the enunciation fines itself to assertiveness. Such assertiveness is often socially times, become violent and lethal but which more typically conthemselves more empowered identities than those normally as they walked down the street were not only constructing for dressing like Madonna made people take more notice of them clothes or accessories are ways of constructing a social identity ciation available. The styling of hair or make-up, the choice of But, important though talk is, it is not the only means of enun-

> such a local or fan community the pay-offs from the investment are continuous and imn.ediate. restricted circulation, a very localized economy. But within the popular cultural capital it generates is thus limited to a tionships-it exists only for its moment of speaking, and Enunciation can occur only within immediate social rela-

official culture, fan culture makes no attempt to circulate its of their texts, which may therefore often lack the technical ductivity typically costs them money. Economics, too, limits economic rather than ones of competence, for fans do not are often crafted with production values as high as any in validated by the official culture, that of textual productivity. approximates much more closely the artistic productions broadcast, texts. texts outside its own community. They are 'narrowcast,' not for profit, they do not need to be mass-marketed, so unlike difference in circulation; because fan texts are not produced smoothness of professionally-produced ones. There is also a the equipment to which fans have access for the production write or produce their texts for money; indeed, their prothe official culture. The key differences between the two are Fans produce and circulate among themselves texts which There is, however, another category of fan productivity that

videos available to a wider audience than that of Madonna same song, nonetheless the means of distribution made the own videos for her song 'True Blue' and devoted 24 hours to with Madonna they ran a competition for fans to produce their the most fervent fan imaginable to endure 24 hours of the the studio. While one might argue that one would have to be playing a selection of those that poured in, almost swamping A rare exception to this was provided by MTV. In association

gaps in the original narrative, and circulate these novels, episodes onto the soundtrack of a popular song. While these their own music videos by editing shots from their favorite distribution network. So, too, Bacon-Smith (1988) has shown and other writings, among themselves through an extensive 1990) who write full-length novels filling in the syntagmatic the productivity of other TV science fiction fans who produce More typical are the 'Star Trek' fans (Jenkins 1989, Penley

fan-artists gain considerable prestige within the fan community, with few exceptions they earn no money for their labor. Indeed, as Henry Jenkins has pointed out to me in correspondence, there is a strong distrust of making a profit in fandom, and those who attempt to do so are typically classed as hucksters rather than fans. The one major exception appears to be fan-artists whose paintings and sketches may occasionally sell for hundreds of dollars at fan auctions. Such figures are, of course, well below those of the dominant art world; but they do indicate a difference between more mundane popular cultural capital, which is never convertible to economic capital, and fan cultural capital which, under certain conditions, may be.

Hobson's (1982) fans felt that 'Crossroads' was their show, and also 'possess' that object, it is their popular cultural capital. So sits surprisingly easily with the contradictory feeling that they reverence, even adoration, fans feel for their object of fandom consistent with Bourdieu's characterization of the subordinate its leading character, Meg, belonged to them rather than to the the audience as it refuses to distance it from everyday life. The proletarian habitus refuses to distance the text and artist from and turns the text into an event, not an art object. This is, again, community minimizes differences between artist and audience melding of the team or performer and the fan into a productive habitus as opposed to the dominant one. The subordinate, or behaving like the bands become part of the performance. This wearing their teams' colors or rock audiences dressing and into popular culture. Fans are very participatory. Sports crowds text and thus turns the commercial narrative or performance texts: it also participates in the construction of the original Fan productivity is not limited to the production of new

Fan magazines often play up to and encourage this sense of possession, the idea that stars are constructed by their fans and owe their stardom entirely to them. Fandom typically lacks the deference to the artist and text that characterizes the bourgeois habitus: so soap opera fans often feel that they could write better storylines than the scriptwriters and know the characters better (Fiske 1987) and sports fans are frequently at odds with the owner's policies for their teams. The industry takes seriously letters from fans who try to participate in and

thus influence the production of the text (Tulloch and Moran 1986) or its distribution (D'Acci 1989).

intellectual rather than physical. and its relationship to its readers in a way that differs from performed by the critic who polices the meanings of a text text and reader: a function that in the academic arena is culture's need to maintain the disciplinary distance between security guards, fences, walls, and in extreme cases, moats the disciplinary apparatus on sports grounds only by being to participate (however disruptively) but also of the dominant and barbed wire - are evidences not only of the fans' desire which their teams' performance is only a part. The official alized instances of streaking in European ones) evidences barriers that separate fans from the field of play - police and fans' desires to participate in the spectacle on display of 'the wave' in US sports grounds (like the more individureward, if any, that it brings. Cheerleaders symbolically link to greater effort but are participating in that effort and the the fans' cheering to the spectacle on the field of play and fans who cheer their team on are not just encouraging them becomes the moment of production in fan culture. Sports reunites and reworks it, so that its moment of reception When this industrial text meets its fans, their participation

describes the storm clouds as 'heavy, black and pendulous, scenes or shooting water pistols in thunderstorms) but they the pause before his line is filled by the audience shouting the straight-faced narrator in The Rocky Horror Picture Show exceed and rework it by inserting fan-written lines of dialogue in favourite lines of dialogue, throwing rice during wedding original industrial text (by dressing like its characters, joining participation. Not only do fans take part in and with the (typically at midnight on weekends) that are carnivals of fan or The Rocky Horror Picture Show have regular fan screenings that change the meaning of the original. When, for instance in talk and imagination. Cult films such as The Blues Brothers and visible the widespread but more private involvement of favorite characters by writing and rewriting their narratives for instance, soap opera fans in 'sharing' the lives of their in communally and publicly by their fans. This makes public More traditional texts, such as films, can also be participated

more subversive homoerotic meanings. tan group, change much of the film's heterosexual cliches into Heffernan (1989) argues, such rewriting can, for a particular 'describe your testicles' (Hoberman and Rosenbaum 1981). As

worked upon and activated by their fans, who by such activity produce their own popular cultural capital function of circulating meanings and pleasure until they are contradictions, which both allow and invite fan productivity in that they have to be open, to contain gaps, irresolutions, They are insufficient texts that are inadequate to their cultural Fan texts, then, have to be 'producerly' (Fiske 1987, 1989a),

#### Capital Accumulation

economic capital. In this section I wish to trace some of the are, by definition, excluded from official cultural capital and more significant of these similarities and differences. its convertibility, via education and career opportunity, into of texts, performers and events, yet the fan's objects of fandom capital, like the official, lies in the appreciation and knowledge culture, at other times, to align themselves with it. Fan cultural at times fans wish to distance themselves from the official larities and differences between fan and official cultural capital: There is a complex, often contradictory relationship of simi-

information is supported, and sometimes subverted, by that our newspapers to gossipy speculations about the private Hudson was gay and Marilyn Monroe was bisexual. Such fan knew, long before the general public, for instance, that Rock which apparently straight stars are actually gay, and thus gay community, for instance, circulates the knowledge of produced by and circulated among the fans themselves. The lives of stars. This commercially produced and distributed give the fan access to information about the object of fandom. capital. The cultural industries have, of course, recognized These vary from the statistics that fill the sports pages of this and produce an enormous range of material designed to knowledge is fundamental to the accumulation of cultural In fandom as in the official culture, the accumulation of

### THE CULTURAL ECONOMY OF FANDOM

ers. Knowledge, like money, is always a source of power. ledge - gain prestige within the group and act as opinion leadtion. It also serves to distinguish within the fan community the official culture, its work is finally one of social distincknowledge helps to distinguish a particular fan community (those who possess it) from others (those who do not): like The experts - those who have accumulated the most know-

and the 'ideal' performance in the buff's own mind. Textual critically between it and other performances or between it but for participation in the popular. knowledge is used for discrimination in the dominant habitus buff not to participate in the performance, but to discriminate knowledge of the text. This dominant habitus would enable the Shakespeare buff, for instance, might use his or her intimate the text in a way that is quite different from the way the over, and participation in, the original, industrial text. The film use that knowledge to participate in and even rewrite Rocky Horror fans who know every line of dialogue in the knowledge in that it is used to enhance the fan's power But fan cultural knowledge differs from official cultural

a black depressed neighbourhood and win a gold medal and a around her'), or between star and fan ('If he can come from she "really" knows what it's like to have a marriage collapse and everyday life ('I know that she's not just "acting" here, tract'). This knowledge diminishes the distance between text functional and potentially empowering in the everyday life of fortune so can  $\Gamma$ ). The popular habitus makes such knowledge because they couldn't agree on the terms to renew his conabout the artist to enhance or enrich the appreciation of the non-fan ('he had to be sent to South America on business processes normally hidden by the text and thus inaccessible to increases the power of the fan to 'see through' to the production the work, whereas in the popular habitus such knowledge In the same way, the dominant habitus uses information

often avid collectors, and the cultural collection is a point where cultural and economic capital come together. books, records, memorabilia, ephemera. Fans, like buffs, are tal is signalled materially by collections of objects - artworks, The accumulation of both popular and official cultural capi-

The 'northerners' in Bourdieu's social space -- those high in both economic and cultural capital -- will often conflate the aesthetic and economic value of, for instance, a collection of paintings, of first editions or of antique furniture, so that the role of the insurance assessor becomes indistinguishable from that of the critic. The 'north-westerners,' however, who have greater cultural than economic capital are more likely to collect cheaper lithographs or prints rather than original paintings, and to have a library of 'ordinary' books rather than first editions, because such collections allow them to invest culturally rather than economically.

Collecting is also important in fan culture, but it tends to be inclusive rather than exclusive: the emphasis is not so much upon acquiring a few good (and thus expensive) objects as upon accumulating as many as possible. The individual objects are therefore often cheap, devalued by the official culture, and mass-produced. The distinctiveness lies in the extent of the collection rather than in their uniqueness or authenticity as cultural objects. There are, of course, exceptions to this: fans with high economic capital will often use it, in a non-aesthetic parallel of the official cultural capitalist, to accumulate unique and authentic objects – a guitar, an autographed piece of sporting equipment, an article of clothing 'genuinely' worn by the star, or an object once possessed by him or her.

places for buying and selling 'collectibles' as they are cultural conventions at which comic fans gather are as much market in its turn, readily convertible into high economic capital. The and rarity, which give them a high cultural capital which is scarcity and age become markers of authenticity, originality, or story lines - the popular equivalent of first editions whose of the official cultural economy, the first issues of comics particular issue had increased over the price they paid for they expected them to increase, or how much the value of a of their collections, and their investment potential: how much well as their cultural capital. So Kiste's (1989) comic book describing their collections in terms of their economic as mass-produced fan objects, will often ape official culture in it. Particularly valuable issues were, in another shadowing fans were eager to comment upon both the economic values But even the everyday fans, with their collections of cheap,

fora for the exchange and circulation of knowledge and the building of a cultural community.

mate much more closely to the official cultural capitalist, and their collections can be more readily turned into economic mass-produced, the original and the reproduction, approxiallows them to discriminate between the authentic and the over quality or exclusivity. Some fans, whose economic status socio-economic. Fan collections tend to be of cheap, massproduced objects, and stress quantity and all-inclusiveness differences between fan collections and art collections are and a 'geographical' disposition in the social space. So the tastes are mapped: a habitus is thus both a mental disposition dimension of economics (and education) upon which those economies. The shadow economy of fan culture in many ways towards the cultural objects or events, but also the social the cultural dimension of taste, discrimination, and attitude to the habitus of the subordinate. A habitus involves not only parallels the workings of the official culture, but it adapts them ment, and this is as true of their cultural as well as financial Capitalist societies are built upon accumulation and invest-

of its direct interconnections, via the educational system, with enhancing rewards that the official culture can offer because to be unfairly excluded from the socio-economic or status subordinated formations of the people who feel themselves it from the official and disconnects it from the economic cultural capital from the educational system that excludes tal on the horizontal. It is the exclusion of popular or far on the vertical axis and to cultural and economic capieducation plays a key role, for it is related both to class and earning power. In Bourdieu's map of the social space and thus can be readily converted into career opportunities cultural capital are institutionalized in the educational system, capital and its convertibility to the economic, they differ This, of course, makes it an appropriate culture for those in widely in the convertibility of their non-material capital respects in their material versions of accumulated cultural the social order. The knowledge and discrimination that comprise official While fan and official culture are similar in at least some

### THE CULTURAL ECONOMY OF FANDOM

## Fans and Commercial (Popular) Culture

Fans make their culture out of the commercial commodities (texts, stars, performances) of the cultural industries. Fandom thus has dual relationships to what is often, if wrongly, called mass culture, and by way of conclusion I would like to raise some of the central issues within them.

Madonnaness' in their own culture) participated in constructing and circulating the 'meanings of denied any distance between performer and audience; they and participation (the fans 'became' Madonna in a way that fans power over boys, parents, teachers and even politicians), of the fans), empowerment (Madonna was shown giving her inserted meaningfully into the everyday lives and surroundings (Madonna's words, music, movements and appearance were videos consistently exhibited the characteristics of relevance products, and that support theorizations of this process. The way that people make popular culture out of mass-cultural that accord well with ethnographic investigations into the And a textual analysis of the videos does indeed reveal features productivity that is characteristic of popular culture generally. be understood as typical of semiotic, and thus invisible, commonly recurring features of these fan videos can then the desire (or talent) to turn their fantasies into texts. The of others who either lacked access to video equipment or similar 'extra-textual' relationships among the crew of the SS normal viewers, many of whom might imagine for themselves original text would therefore be understood as elaborated and by Madonna fans as textualizations of the interior fantasies public versions of the interior, semiotic productions of more novels written by 'Star Trek' fans to fill the gaps in the one in degree rather than kind. The romantic and pornographic Enterprise. So, too, we would understand the videos produced ened form of popular culture in industrial societies and that the fan is an 'excessive reader' who differs from the 'ordinary' Elsewhere (Fiske 1989a) I have argued that fandom is a heightgenerally, of the fan to the more 'normal' audience member First there is the relationship of fandom to popular culture

Fan culture is also related to the commercial interests of the

culture industries. For the industries fans are an additional market that not only buys 'spin-off' products, often in huge quantities, but also provides valuable free feedback on market trends and preferences. There are thus contradictory functions performed by cultural commodities which on the one hand serve the economic interests of the industry and on the other the cultural interests of the fans. There is a constant struggle between fans and the industry, in which the industry attempts to incorporate the tastes of the fans, and the fans to 'excorporate' the products of the industry.

most valuable characteristics. new, stimulating and yet acceptable to the people are among its disposability and constant, anxious search for that which is and superficialities, because it is these very qualities that to be preserved, its ephemerality is not an issue; indeed its and satisfying. Because the industrial text is not an art-object make the text open and provocative rather than completed on industrial texts with their contradictions, inadequacies culture. It fails to realize that many industrially-produced to realize, too, that such popular productivity works better productivity in a way that official art-works cannot. It fails texts have producerly characteristics that stimulate popular misunderstands both the production and reception of popular art-object is not. It is not surprising then that the dominant completing and to participation in the way that a completed habitus, with its taste for official culture, denigrates and thus do not have the status of a uniquely crafted art-object. well aware that its commodities are industrially produced and a subordinate relationship to them. Popular culture, however, is They are thus open to the productive reworking, rewriting, the artist and, therefore, the text necessarily places its readers in creations of special individuals or artists: such a reverence for Official culture likes to see its texts (or commodities) as the

It may be ironic or regrettable that the economic imperative has brought capitalist industries closer to the culture of the people than the purer motives of those within official culture. But it should not surprise us. Official cultural capital, like economic capital, is systematically denied to the people and their lack then functions to distinguish them from those that possess it. In capitalist societies popular culture is necessarily

and visible of all choose to make some of their commodities into popular culture, discriminating and selective of all formations of the people and sometimes conflictive, sometimes complicitous or co-operative, to the culture industries is therefore complex and fascinating, people have to work with. The relationship of popular culture produced from the products of capitalism, for that is all the the cultural capital they produce is the most highly developed but reject many more than they adopt. Fans are among the mosi but the people are never at the mercy of the industries - they

Jenkins for their helpful comments on early drafts of this Author's note: I would like to thank Lynn Spigel and Henry

Bacon-Smith, C. 1988. Acquisition and Transformation of Popular munity. Paper presented at The International Communication Association Conference, New Orleans, 1988. Culture: The International Video Circuit and the Fanzine Com-

Bourdieu, P. 1984. Distinction; a Social Critique of the Judgement of Taste

Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Empowerment. Australian Journal of Cultural Studies 4(2): 1-25. Cho, M. and C. Cho. 1990. Women Watching Together: an Ethnographic Study of Korean Soap Opera Fans in the U.S. Cultural Studies 4(1): 30-44. Brown, M. E. 1987. The Politics of Soaps: Pleasure and Feminine

D'Acci, J. 1989. Women, 'Woman' and Television: The Case of Cagney and Lacey. Dissertation, University of Wisconsin-Madison.

Dawson, R. 1990. Culture and Deprivation: Ethnography and Everyday tion Conference, Dublin 1990. Life. Paper presented at the International Communication Associa-

Fiske, J. 1987. Television Culture. London and New York: Routledge. -1989a. Understanding Popular Culture. Boston: Unwin Hyman.

------1989b Reading the Popular. Boston: Unwin Hyman. Heffernan, K. 1989. Heterotextuality. Unpublished paper, University

Hoberman, J. and J. Rosenbaum. 1981. Midnight Movies. New York: of Wisconsin-Madison.

Hobson, D. 1982. Crossroads: the Drama of a Soap Opera. London: Harper & Row.

Methuen. -1989. Soap Operas at Work. In Ellen Seiter (ed.) Remote Control.

#### THE CULTURAL ECONOMY OF FANDON

Television. Audiences and Cultural Power (1989). London: Routledge,

Popular (1990), London: Sage, pp. 61-71. Brown (ed.) Television and Women's Culture: The Politics of the -1990. Women Audiences and the Workplace. In Mary Ellen

Jenkins, H. 1989. Star Trek: Rerun, Reread, Rewritten: Fan Writing 85-107. as Textual Poaching. Critical Studies in Mass Communication 5(2)

Jones, S. 1990. Black Music and Young People in Birmingham. In F. Rogilds (ed.) Every Cloud Has a Silver Lining (1990). Copenhagen: Akademisk Forlag, pp. 126-35.

Kiste, A. 1989. Comic Books: Practices of Reading and Strategies of Legitimation. Unpublished paper, University of Wisconsin-Madi-

Leal, O. 1990. Popular Taste and Erudite Repertoire: The Place and Space of Television in Brazil. Cultural Studies 4(1): 19-29.

Leal, O. and R. Oliver. 1988. Class Interpretations of a Soap Opera Culture and Society 5, 81-99. Narrative: The Case of the Brazilian Novella 'Summer Sun.' Theory

Culture. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
Penley, C. 1990. Feminism, Psychoanalysis and Popular Culture. Lipsitz, G. 1990. Time Passages: Collective Memory and American Popula

the Future,' University of Illinois, April 1990. Paper presented at conference on 'Cultural Studies Now and in

Radway, J. 1984. Reading the Romance: Feminism and the Representation of Women in Popular Culture. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.

Seiter, E. et al. 1989. 'Don't Treat Us Like We're So Stupid and Naive' Toward an Ethnography of Soap Opera Viewers. In Ellen Seiter et

al. (eds) Remote Control (1989). London: Routledge. Fulloch, J. and M. Alvarado. 1983. Dr Who: The Unfolding Text. London: Macmillan.

Iulloch, J. and A. Moran. 1986. A Country Practice: 'Quality Soap. Sydney: Currency Press.